UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,162	06/10/2005	Rachael Ann Ancliff	PG4784USw	5044
23347 7590 12/26/2008 GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
	RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/509,162	ANCLIFF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	REBECCA L. ANDERSON	1626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Oc</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 28-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 36-39 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 28-35 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policion to the continuous displacement of the continuous	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of formal 10-102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/27/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/509,162 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 28-39 are currently pending in the instant application. Claims 36-39 appear allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 28-35 are rejected. As applicant has cancelled claims 1-27 and presented new claims 28-39, the lack of unity requirement mailed 1 April 2008 is withdrawn and claims 28-39 have been searched and examined in their entirety.

Claim Objections

Claim 28 is objected to because of the following informalities: Specifically, claim 28 has the term "ozadiazolyl" which should be "oxadiazolyl". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

As stated in the MPEP 2164.01 (a), "There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue."

In *In re Wands*, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (1988), factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have need described. They are:

1. the nature of the invention,

Art Unit: 1626

- 2. the state of the prior art,
- 3. the predictability or lack thereof in the art,
- 4. the amount of direction or guidance present,
- 5. the presence or absence of working examples,
- 6. the breadth of the claims,
- 7. the quantity of experimentation needed, and
- 8. the level of the skill in the art.

Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for products of the formula (If) or a salt thereof does not reasonably provide enablement for solvates of said product. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make/use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The nature of the invention

In the instant case, the claims are read as products of the formula (If) or salts or solvates of said product.

The state of the prior art and the predictability or lack thereof in the art

In regards to solvates, according to Byrn, et al., "the occurrence of hydrated or solvated crystal forms, crystals in which solvent molecules occupy regular positions in the crystal structure, is widespread but *by no means universal among drug substances.*" (emphasis added). Byrn, et al. "Solid State Chemistry of Drugs", 2d ed., SSCI, Inc., Ch. 10 Polymorphs, pp. 232-247, 232 (1999). Most drug crystals that fall into the category of solvates are hydrates. *Id.* at 236.

While the level of skill in pharmacology and organic chemistry is exceedingly high, there is no absolute predictability as to which solvates will function as intended. Byrn notes that the water molecule is particularly suited to fill structural voids, due to its small size. *Id.* In hydrated crystal structures, water molecules bind to other water

Art Unit: 1626

molecules but also to any available functional group, i.e. carbonyls, amines, alcohols, and many others which are capable of accepting or donating an active hydrogen atom to form hydrogen bonds. *Id.* Also, the behavior of hydrates of pharmaceuticals is unpredictable due to dehydration prior to melting, and cracking during dehydration. *Id.* at 234. Too, hydrates and solvates may only be formed under certain conditions, dependent upon the compounds sought to be crystallized. Such a process is not a given in pharmacology and requires a great deal of research, with no guarantee of success.

Furthermore, the stability of solvates and hydrates is not altogether predictable, wherein said stability directly affects the properties of a given molecule. This lack of stability means a hydrate or solvate, if found to possess similar properties as the target compound, may not function as intended *in vivo*. Such facts lead to the conclusion that more that a mere recitation is needed in order to support a claim to solvates and hydrates. Creating functional solvates and hydrates with the same properties as the mother-compound is by no means routine, thus there must be a showing sufficient to satisfy the enablement requirement.

The amount of direction or guidance present and the presence or absence of working examples

The only direction or guidance present is for products of the formula (If) and salts, see page 19. There is no direction or guidance as to preparing any solvate or hydrate (see page 19 wherein solvate is, i.e. hydrate) of the products of formula as

claimed. There is no direction or guidance as to how and what molecules can be enclosed within the crystal structure of the instant compound.

The breadth of the claims

The breadth of the claims includes products of the formula (If), salts, and solvates thereof.

The quantity of experimentation needed and the level of the skill in the art

The level of difficulty required to produce functional hydrates and solvates is extremely high. The level of skill in pharmacology/organic chemistry is also very high. However, despite such a high level of skill in the requisite art, the creation of solvates and hydrates is unpredictable to the extent that undue experimentation is required in order to make and use solvates and hydrates of the claimed compounds. There is an insufficient showing in the Specification, or the state of the art does not acknowledge that the solvates and hydrates of the claimed compounds can be created via routine experimentation.

Therefore, Applicant's Specification does not enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims.

Claims 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Specifically, applicants' originally filed specification and claims excluded the compounds such as N-{[4-(3,4-

dichlorobenzyl)morpholin-2-yl]methyl}-N'-(2-furylmethyl)urea from the claimed invention, see the proviso on page 3 of the specification for formula (I) and see originally filed claim 1. Applicants' instantly claimed formula (If) is a subgroup of formula (I) and therefore also should exclude the provided out compounds. Therefore, claims 28-35 are considered to have new matter as they are now including compounds which were originally excluded from the invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 28-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claims 28-33 fail to define the variables "*" or "**". It is suggested that claim 28 be amended to include the definitions of "*" and "**" as found on page 15 of the specification and that claims 30-35 be cancelled.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rebecca L. Anderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0696. Mrs. Anderson can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 6:00am until 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Joseph K. McKane, can be reached at (571) 272-0699.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,162 Page 7

Art Unit: 1626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rebecca Anderson/ Primary Examiner, AU 1626

Rebecca Anderson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1626, Group 1620 Technology Center 1600 20 December 2008